arXiv:1611.10043v1 [math.CV] 30 Nov 2016

A disproof of a conjecture of Al Baernstein II

Ronen Peretz

December 1, 2016

Abstract

We answer a question that was asked by Albert Baernstein II, regarding the coefficients of circular symmetrization. The conjecture is not true generically.

1 The 1974 conjecture of Baernstein

We will recall results from the paper [1].

Definition 1.1. Let D be a domain in the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. The circular symmetrization of D is the domain D^* that is defined as follows: for each $t \in (0, \infty)$ we define $D(t) = \{\theta \in [0, 2\pi] \,|\, te^{i\theta} \in D\}$. If $D(t) = [0, 2\pi]$ then the intersection of D^* with the circle $|z| = t$ is the full circle. If $D(t) = \emptyset$ then the intersection of D^* with the circle $|z| = t$ is the empty set $\emptyset$. If $D(t)$ is a non trivial subset of $[0, 2\pi]$ which has the measure $|D(t)| = \alpha'$, then the intersection of D^* with the circle $|z| = t$ is the unique circular arc given by $\{te^{i\theta} \,|\, |\theta| < \alpha'/2\}$. Finally D^* contain the point 0 (∞) if and only if D contains the point 0 (∞).
Let $f \in H(U)$ be one to one and let F be the conformal mapping of U onto $f(U)^*$ (both $f(U)$ and $f(U)^*$ are simply connected domains) that satisfies $F(0) = |f(0)|$, $F^{'}(0) > 0$. Then F is called the circular symmetrization of f.

Section (j) of the paper [1] includes a proof of an important principle in symmetrization:
Let $f \in H(U)$ and let us denote $D = f(U)$. Let D_0 be a simply connected

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30C55, 30C75, 30C80, 30C85, 30H10
Key Words and Phrases: circular symmetrization, Steiner symmetrization, extremal problems

domain that contains D^*, and let us assume that D_0 is not the full complex plane ($\mathbb{C}$). Let F be a conformal mapping of U onto D_0 that satisfies $F(0) = |f(0)|$. The following result is proved in [1]:

Theorem 6. ([1]) *If Φ is a convex non-decreasing function on $(-\infty, \infty)$, $f \in H(U)$ and F as above, then for all $0 \leq r < 1$ we have:*

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Phi(\log |f(re^{i\theta})|)d\theta \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Phi(\log |F(re^{i\theta})|)d\theta.$$

If we choose in Theorem 6 above, $\Phi(x) = e^{2x}$ and assume that we have the following expansions: $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n z^n$, then we obtain the inequality $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 r^{2n} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |A_n|^2 r^{2n}$ for $0 \leq r < 1$. By the definition of F we have $|A_0| = |a_0|$, thus if we subtract $|A_0|^2$ from both sides of the inequality and divide by r^2 and than take $r \to 0^+$ we obtain $|f'(0)| \leq |F'(0)|$, a classical result of Walter Hayman. If f is one-to-one in U then both D and D^* are simply connected and we can take F to be a conformal mapping from U onto D^* for which $F(0) = |f(0)|$.

At the end of section (k) in [1] the author asks if the following is true for all n: $|a_n| \leq |A_n|$? Is the following weaker set of inequalities true: $\sum_{k=0}^{n} |a_k|^2 \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |A_k|^2$? A. Baernstein II, remarks that these last inequalities if true, would prove a conjecture of Littlewood: If f is one-to-one and analytic in U and if $f(z) \neq 0$, for $z \in U$, then for each $n > 1$ we have: $a_n \leq 4n|a_0|$.
It was proved in [3] that the Bieberbach's conjecture implies the above Littlewood's conjecture. Since by now we know the Bieberbach's conjecture to be true, [2], Littlewood's conjecture is true as well.

2 A disproof of the conjecture of Al Baernstein II

Concerning the first question posed by Albert Baernstein II (above) we prove the following:

Theorem 2.1. *If $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is analytic, one-to-one in U and if $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n z^n$ is the circular symmetrization of $f(z)$, then we have: $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n|a_n|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n|A_n|^2$ and either for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ we have $|a_n| = |A_n|$, or there exist $1 \leq n_1, n_2$ such that $|a_{n_1}| < |A_{n_1}|$ and $|A_{n_2}| < |a_{n_2}|$.*

Theorem 2.1 answers the problem mentioned above that was raised by Albert Baernstein II. The answer in negative.

Proof.
Let f be a conformal function defined on U. Here conformal means analytic and one-to-one. We assume that $f(U)$ has a finite area (otherwise we replace $f(z)$ by $f(rz)$ for $0 < r < 1$). Let us denote $D = f(U)$, and let F be a conformal mapping of U onto the symmetrization D^* such that $F(0) = |f(0)|$. Let us denote by $S(D)$ and by $S(D^*)$ the areas of the respective domains. We will use $td\phi \cdot dt$ for the area element in polar coordinates. Then we have the identities:

$$S(D) = \int_0^\infty \int_{D(t)} td\phi \cdot dt = \int_0^\infty t|D(t)|dt, \quad S(D^*) = \int_0^\infty t|D^*(t)|dt.$$

By the definition of D^* it follows that for all $0 \leq t < \infty$ we have $D(t) = D^*(t)$ and hence $S(D) = S(D^*)$ (the well-known fact that circular symmetrization is an area preserving transformation). On the other hand we have $S(D) = \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} r|f'(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta dr$ and if $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n z^n$ and $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty A_n z^n$ then we obtain the well known formulas: $S(D) = \pi \sum_{n=0}^\infty n|a_n|^2$, and $S(D^*) = \pi \sum_{n=0}^\infty n|A_n|^2$. We conclude that $\sum_{n=0}^\infty n|a_n|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^\infty n|A_n|^2$. We recall that by the definition of F we have $A_0 = |a_0|$ and by Hayman's result (see [5]) $|a_1| \leq |A_1|$ and so either $|a_n| = |A_n|$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ or there exist $1 \leq n_1, n_2$ so that $|a_{n_1}| < |A_{n_1}|$, and $|A_{n_2}| < |a_{n_2}|$. In the first case (in which we have equalities of the absolute values for all the Taylor coefficients) the uniqueness result in [5] completes our proof. Hayman refers to a result of Jenkins, [7] and to his own paper [6]. Using the results in those papers one can show that strict inequality holds in $|a_1| < |A_1|$ unless $f(U) = f(U)^*$ and $f(z) = F(e^{i\lambda}z)$ for some real λ. It is worth mentioning here related uniqueness results of Jenkins (in [8]) and of Essén and Shea (in [4]). Our proof is now complete. □

References

[1] Albert Baernstein II, Integral means, univalent functions and circular symmetrization, *Acta Mathematica*, Volume **133**, Issue **1**, pp 139-169, December 1974.

[2] L. de Branges, A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture, *Acta Mathematica*, Volume **154**, pp 137-152, 1985.

[3] Peter Duren and Glenn Schober, Nonvanishing Univalent Functions*, *Math. Z.*, Volume **170**, pp 195-216, 1980.

[4] Matts Essén and Daniel F. Shea, On some questions of uniqueness in the theory of symmetrization, *Annales AcademiæScientiarum Fennicæ*, Series A. I. Mathematica, Volume **4**, pp 311-340, 1978/1979.

[5] Hayman, W. K., Multivalent Functions, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **110**, Cambridge University Press, second edition, 1994.

[6] Hayman, W. K., Some applications of the transfinite diameter to the theory of functions, *J. Anal. Math.*, Volume **1**, pp 155-179, 1951.

[7] Jenkins, J. A., Some uniqueness results in the theory of symmetrization, *Ann. of Math.*, Volume **61**, pp 106-115, 1955.

[8] Jenkins, J. A., Some uniqueness results in the theory of symmetrization, *Ann. of Math.*, Volume **75**, No. **2**, pp 223-230, Mar - 1962.

Ronen Peretz
Department of Mathematics
Ben Gurion University of the Negev
Beer-Sheva , 84105
Israel
E-mail: ronenp@math.bgu.ac.il